

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,805	01/17/2001	Satoshi Obana	072982/0214	4394	
22428	7590 06/07/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500			ZIA, SYED		
3000 K STRI	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2131		

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

(							
t		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/760,805	OBANA, SATOSHI				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Syed Zia	2131				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
	THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv</li> </ul>		o final rejection which eve	in latar   la ma			
	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th			er is later. In no			
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as se above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may redue earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
	e filed within two mon ), to avoid dismissal o orth in 37 CFR 41.37(	of the appeal.					
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for the instance of						
	appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a						
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))						
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
	the non-allowable claim(s).	anowabie ii submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendin	nent canceling			
	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						

### U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

See Attachment.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

## Attachment to Advisory Action

## Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to request for reconsideration and amendments after-final filed on May 13, 2005. Applicant originally filed Claims 1-20. Applicant previously amended Claims 7, and 17. Therefore, presently pending claims are 1-20.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed May 13, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

Regarding Claims 1, 7, 14, and 18 applicants argued that the cited prior art (CPA) [Brickell et al. (U. S. Patent 5,867,578)] does not discloses a system" that allows a partial signature auxiliary data to be generated based on a generated random number and a secret key of an owner of a mobile agent", and cited prior art do not disclose "a base host that generates a partial signature auxiliary data based on a secret key of an owner", "the message are combined using a secret key of an owner of a mobile agent" and also cited prior art fail to disclose at least "generating digital signature for the partial signature auxiliary data using a secret key of the owner of the mobile agent".

This is not found persuasive. The system of Brickell et al. teaches and describes a system and method of *multi-step digital signature* having a distributed root certifying authority. Messages

Art Unit: 2131

received at the root certifying authority are distributed to root certifying authority members who attach *partial signatures* to the message using root key fragments, and enables alteration fragments of private key without need for changing public key. In the system and method provided, the system adapts to system events such as the addition or removal of key fragment holders, the need to modify key fragments, etc., by changing key fragments, and the signing protocol for certifying authority member is changed by the input instructions.

Therefore, the system of cited prior art describes and a provide a signature calculation system by use of a agent, by which information that can be generated by the owner of the agent only is carried by the agent in a form that can not be analyzed by a single remote host, and thereby a digital signature can be calculated for signature target data by use of a secret key (col.3line 45 to col.4line 62)

Applicants <u>clearly</u> have failed to <u>explicitly identify specific</u> claim limitations, which would define a patentable distinction over prior arts. The examiner is not trying to teach the invention but is merely trying to interpret the claim language in its broadest and reasonable meaning. The examiner will not interpret to read narrowly the claim language to read exactly from the specification, but will interpret the claim language in the broadest reasonable interpretation in view of the specification. Therefore, the examiner asserts that the system of cited prior arts does teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in independent Claims 1, 9, and 17 and in subsequent dependent Claims. Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-20 are respectfully maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,805

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:00.

Art Unit: 2131

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed Zia whose telephone number is 571-272-3798. The

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sz May 31, 2005

AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 4